



$\alpha$ -GlcNAcase comprising contacting a cell producing the enzyme with a mutagenic agent and then culturing the cell in the presence of *Pseudomonas* exotoxin A.

Group IV: Claims 31-41, drawn to a method of producing a phosphodiesterase  $\alpha$ -GlcNAcase comprising expressing the enzyme in a furin deficient cell.

Group V: Claims 42-44, drawn to a method of producing a phosphodiesterase  $\alpha$ -GlcNAcase comprising culturing a cell in the presence of *Pseudomonas* exotoxin A.

Applicant has elected Group I, Claims 1-10 with traverse.

Applicant respectfully traverses on the grounds that the Office has not shown that a burden exists in searching the entire application (MPEP §803):

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on its merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

Applicant submits that a search of all claims would not constitute a serious burden on the Office.

For the reasons set forth above, the Restriction Requirement is improper and should be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,  
OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Richard L. Chinn, Ph.D.  
Attorney of Record  
Registration No.: 34,305



**22850**

Daniel J. Pereira, Ph.D.  
Registration No. 45,518

Tel.: 703-413-3000  
Fax: 703-413-3220